

over said chip in said well.

Claim 77 (previously submitted). A device as recited in claim 66 further comprising a coating over said chip in said well.

Claim 78 (previously submitted). A device as recited in claim 66 further comprising a transparent material located in said well and covering said chip, said transparent material being capable of converting monochromatic light emitted by said chip to white light.


REMARKS

Claims 55 and 66 have been amended to recite the use of a plurality of mounting panels on the secondary heat sink on which primary heat sinks and semiconductor chips may be mounted to emit light in a desired direction. This limitation is not found in the prior art and should overcome the obviousness rejection.

Claim 66 has also been amended to recite a heat-dissipating air passageway in the secondary heat sink. The prior art does not disclose the recited limitation, so this amendment should overcome the obviousness rejection for claim 66 and those claims which depend on it.

Applicant believes the case is now in condition for allowance. If any additional fees are due those fees should be charged to Deposit Account No. 50-0581.

Respectfully submitted this 10th day of November, 2004.



Daniel P. McCarthy
Reg. No. 36,600
PARSONS, BEHLE & LATIMER
201 South Main Street, Suite 1800
Salt Lake City, Utah 84111
(801) 532-1234